UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,222	11/09/2005	Thomas Leichter	12604/17	3690
26646 KENYON & K	7590 08/04/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	HO, HA DINH		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/556,222	LEICHTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HA D. HO	3681	

	HA D. HO	3681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	n the same day as filing a N replies: (1) an amendment eal (with appeal fee) in cor	lotice of Appeal. To avoid aba t, affidavit, or other evidence, npliance with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date later than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	the mailing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for r than three months after the n	g amount of the fee. The appropi reply originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed value. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (ow);	(see NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>39</u> would be allow allowable claim(s). 	able if submitted in a separ	rate, timely filed amendment o	anceling the non-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 18-22,24-30 and 32-39. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 40 as per Final Rejection mailed 05/12 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	vided below or appended.	b) ⊠ will be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections und	ler appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claim	s after entry is below or attac	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the app	lication in condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Ha D. Ho/		
	Primary Examine 08/01/08	er, Art Unit 3681	

Continuation of 13. Other:

Applicant's arguments filed 07/29/08 with respect to claim 40 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Note that the control circuit 410 of Ohnuma outputs a control signal for supplying power to the armature 2 of the motor 1 via wiring 60 (see page 5, paragraphs 0062 and 0063). Note that "modulate or demodulate information" is interpreted as "output a control signal" since, by outputting a control signal, the information is modulated or demodulated (i.e., from no signal to having signal or voltage is changed/adjusted) onto the load leads that supply the power to the motor 1.

/Ha D. Ho/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3681 08/01/08